

REMARKS:

Claims 1-85 are in the case and presented for consideration.

In response to the Examiner's restriction requirement, Applicant elects the prosecution of claims directed to the species of Figure 33A. This species is discussed on page 14, starting on line 3.

This is believed to entitle Applicant at least to the examination of claims 1-8, 10-43, 45-76 and 78-85.

It is noted that claims 15, 19, 20 and 83 are directed in particular to the species of Figure 33A, but may be generic to additional species of the invention.

Claims 9, 44 and 77 deal with the slideable column or beam feature of Figure 15. These claims are retained, however, in case a claim that is generic to this feature (which is not actually part of the corner structure), is found allowable. It is noted that the sliding beam feature of Fig. 15, as explained in the specification at page 10, starting at line 26, for example, produces a support for the truss over a window or door opening, not a corner structure.

In addition, corrections have been made to the drawing and the specification to improve the form of the application and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Dated: July 18, 2006

Respectfully submitted,



Peter C. Michalos
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 28,643
(845) 359-700

PCM:dp

NOTARO & MICHALOS, P.C.
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110
Orangeburg, NY 10962-2100

IN THE DRAWINGS:

Please accept 13 replacement sheets containing Figs. 1 through 33B with corrections by adding reference 63 to Fig. 1; Figs. 4 and 5 changing reference 32 and 33 to 232 and 233, respectively and, Figs. 12-15 correcting one of the occurrences of 68 with the correct 38; the page containing Figs. 17-19 changing reference 87 to 84; Figs. 22-24 correcting reference 68 to 38 and in Fig. 24; Figs. 26-28 correcting reference 68 to 38 in Fig. 28 and eliminating reference 110 with its lead line in Fig. 27.